



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/482,162  | 01/12/2000                   | Kikuo Kaise             | SON-1720            | 6631             |
| 75  | 90 05/22/2002                |                         |                     |                  |
| Ronald P. Kananen, Esq. Rader, Fishman & Grauer The Lion Building |                              |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |                              |                         | CHUNG, DAVID Y      |                  |
| Washington, DC  | t, N.W. Suite 501<br>C 20036 |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                              |                         | 2871                |                  |
|   |                              | DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 4   |   |   | 1  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application No.                         | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | 09/482,162                              | KAISE ET AL.                                       |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                                | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |   | David Chung                             | 2871   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  |   | s action is non-final.                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  | Claim(s) is/are pending in the application  | n.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | ) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment  |   | , |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal P                 | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Tra   | Harris A.   |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/482,162

Art Unit: \*\*\*

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboyama (U.S. 4,744,639). Tsuboyama discloses a ferroelectric liquid crystal device having a flattening layer. This flattening layer consists of orientation control portions 36 and spacer members 38. See figures 3A and 3B. Tsuboyama teaches forming the flattening layer using a number of organic materials such as acrylic resin. See column 6, lines 25 – 35. Figures 4A and 4B show an example of the spacers being formed of different material than the orientation control layer. It is common knowledge within the art that the orientation control layer can be formed from inorganic material as well as organic material. Although Tsuboyama does not disclose a light shielding region, light shielding layers were notoriously well known and obvious for the purpose of preventing light leakage in order to obtain good contrast. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add a light shielding layer to the liquid crystal display device of Tsuboyama in order to achieve better contrast.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Kenneth Parker Primary Examiner GAU 2871